## Meaningful Use Final Rule – Exclusion of Documentation

**CMS-0033-F 143** Page 143

NPRM EP/Eligible Hospital Objective: Document a progress note for each encounter

In the proposed rule, we discussed this objective, but did not propose it for Stage 1 of meaningful use. We noted our belief that documentation of progress notes is a medical-legal requirement and a component of basic EHR functionality, and is not directly related to advanced processes of care or improvements in quality, safety, or efficiency.

<u>Comment:</u> We received a limited number of comments regarding our decision not to include documentation of progress notes as an objective. The commenters generally fell into three categories: those who supported inclusion of this objective in the final rule, those who supported its inclusion only if certain caveats are met and those who supported our proposal not to include it as an objective for Stage 1 of meaningful use. Concerns raised by those supporting the inclusion of this objective included the possibility that an EP may keep paper progress notes in conjunction with use of certified EHR technology as prescribed by Stage 1 of meaningful use and that such a choice by EPs would create the possibility of handwriting illegibility, loss of information and reduced access to health information by both patients and other providers. Another concern raised is that if the objective is not included in the criteria for the definition of meaningful use designers of EHR technology will not include the function in their products. The advocates in the second category agree with the above, but only support inclusion with certain caveats. Some of these caveats include preserving the option of transcription, voice recognition software, and direct entry by an EP or any combination of these. Another caveat is that progress notes not be required to be entered as structured data. The third category supports exclusion of progress notes as an objective for two fundamentally different reasons. Some commenters

**CMS-0033-F 144** Page 144

supported exclusion because they believe that the volume of objectives was already too high for Stage 1 of meaningful use and therefore opposed anything that would increase the volume.

Other commenters agree with our proposal that progress notes is already a fundamental part of current EHR products and did not represent a move that advances the use of EHRs.

Response: We predicated our discussion in the proposed rule on the assumption that progress notes are a component of basic EHR functionality. We still believe this is the case and have not received evidence to the contrary. However, we failed to clearly articulate the ramifications of our belief. Our view continues to be that an EP who incorporates the use of EHRs into a practice and complies with meaningful use criteria is unlikely to maintain separate paper progress notes outside of the EHR system. We believe that the potential disruption in workflow of the efforts to merge paper progress notes with the other records in certified EHR technology in order to have a complete medical record far outweighs the burden of electronically capturing progress notes. Moreover, we continue to believe this is a highly unlikely scenario. As with any meaningful use objective, it is important to have clear, definitive definitions. However, our observations of discussions held in public forums by the medical community and review of literature have led us to conclude that it not possible to provider a clear, definitive definition of a progress note at this time. We note that commenters recommending the documentation of a progress note be included as an objective did not attempt to define the term. Nor did commenters suggest an associated measure. We continue to believe that there is insufficient need and upon review believe there is insufficient consensus regarding the term progress note to include this objective for Stage 1 of meaningful use.

After consideration of the public comments received, we do not include this meaningful use objective in the final rule.